8ase=1-01-cv-00764-WW6-PT----Bocument-74-----Filed-08/22/2002-----Page=1-of=1-7

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

8-22-0

William Clark,
Plaintiff

ORGINA

No.: 1:CV-01-0764

vs.

(Judge Caldwell)

FILED HARRISBURG PA

Martin Horn, et al.,

Defendants

Jury Trial Demanded

AUG 2 2 2002

Plaintiff's Motion For Appointment Of Counsel

MARY E. D'ANDREA, CLEI

Now comes the Plaintiff, William Clark, pro se, and hereby requests this Honorable Court grants "Plaintiff's Motion For Appointment Of Counsel".

#### Statement Of Case

A. Identity Of The Parties:

Plaintiff, William Clark, is currently incarcerated at the State Correctional Institution at Rockview.

The Department of Corrections employees named as defendants ("Corrections Defendants") are "Martin Horn, Robert Meyers, and Larry Lidgett", by and through their attorney, John J. Talaber, Assistant Counsel for the Department of Corrections.

Additional defendants, named "Wexford Health Sources, Inc.", and "Wexford Medical Director, Dr. John Symons", ("Wexford Defendants"), by and through their attorney, James D. Young, Esq.

B. Relevant Procedural History:

On December 1, 1999, plaintiff filed an "Official Inmate Grievance", (see DC-804-ROC-0706-99).

On August 10, 2001, plaintiff filed an "Official Inmate Grievance", (see DC-804-ROC-0641-01).

Plaintiff, William Clark, pro se, filed this Complaint on May 21, 2001. (see Complaint, Doc. 1)

On January 2, 2002, plaintiff served "Corrections Defendants" with: a request for Production of Documents, which plaintiff has corrected on June 11, 2002 (see Praecipe To Substitute).

On February 4, 2002, "Corrections Defendants" sought an Enlargement of Time to respond to plaintiffs aforementioned Discovery requests. (see Doc. 15)

The Court, by Order dated February 8, 2002, granted the "Corrections Defendants" Motion directing them to respond to plaintiffs Discovery requests on or before March 21, 2002. (see Doc. 16)

On March 21, 2002, "Corrections Defendants" served plaintiff with a Response to his Request for Production of Documents. (Doc. 19, Exhibit D)

On March 25, 2002, the "Corrections Defendants" filed a Motion for a Protective Order, with a Supporting Brief and Documents. (Doc. 17-19)

Additionally, that same day the "Corrections Defendants" filed a Motion for Summary Judgement. (Doc. 25)

On April 12, 2002, plaintiff filed "Brief in Opposition to Corrections

Defendants' Motion for a Protective Order" and also enclosed letter to the Court

explaining his legal aid problem.

On April 18, 2002, plaintiff initiated the Production of Medical Records to pursue the "Discovery Process".

On April 30, 2002, "Corrections Defendants" file "Corrections Defendants' Reply
To Plaintiffs Brief In Opposition ToTheir Motion For A Protective Order". (hereafter
"Corrections Defendants Reply")

On May 15, 2002, plaintiff filed "Plaintiff's Response".

On May 20, 2002, by Memorandum and Order, this Honorable Court denied the "Corrections Defendants" Motion for a Protective Order and directed defendants Horn and Lidgett to respond to plaintiffs Interrogatories within forty five (45) days.

\*Case=1:01-cv-00764-WW6-PT---Document-74----Filed-08/22/2002----Page-8-of-17-

Rule 34; "Production of Documents..."(a)-(c).

Plaintiff has in "good faith" attempted throughout the period of time since his loss of "paralegal" help wherein Corrections Defendants" cut him off from said help by Mr. Lassen, to proceed through these complex proceedings.

ALL motions filed by plaintiff since "Plaintiff's Response" filed May 15, 2002, explains and displays his troubles and lack of experience, thus showing how he has been prejudiced and disadvantaged by the Defendants actions and inactions wherein he has been baffled and thwarted of pertinent process and proceedings necessary for the minimal presentation of the actual claims.

Plaintiff is disadvantaged and has been inundated as documented in prior motions to this Honorable Court, and lacks knowledge and expertise to correct and amend properly the initial defects and subsequent aggravating factors connected to the initial grievance.

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests, due to all the unattended pertinent processes that must be established and the complexity and difficulty that Plaintiff is unable to meet, Plaintiff requests this Honorable Court grant his "Motion For Appointment Of Counsel", and appoint Counsel so he may not be disadvantaged and prejudiced in his presentation of this meritorious Petition.

Date Mailed: 8/20/02

Respectfully Submitted,

William Clark, pro se

Box A AY-5585

Bellefonte, Pa. 16823

12/8/00

EXH. 3C

ORGNAL

William M. CLARK

5.C.I. ROCKVIEW

TUNATE NO. AY-5585

BELLEFONTE, PA. 16823

01-01-764

FILED HARRISBURG, PA AUG 2 2 2002

MARY E. D'ANUHEA, CLEHK

To WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

I AM CURRENTLY INCHROERATED AT ROCKVIEW WHERE IN OCTOBER 1999 I WAS TOLD I HAVE HEPATITIS C AFTER I INITIATED TESTING FOR HEP. C AND FOR HIV. At the TIME I WAS BECOMING EASILY FATIGUED AND SLEEPING ALCT.

I WAS INFORMED SHORTLY AFTERWARD THAT I WAS NEGATIVE FOR H.I.V. BUT TOLD I HAD THE HEPATITIS C VIRUS AND THAT BY WHAT THE RECORDS SHOWED, I HAD HAD IT SINCE AT LEAST 1992. I WAS NEVER INFORMED OF THIS FACT, EITHER AT THAT TIME OR ON 2 SUBSEQUENT INCHROERATIONS FOR PAROLE VIDLATIONS. I FEEL BY THIS CHISSION THAT I WAS NEVER AFFORDED AN OPPURTUNITY TO CHANGE MY LIFESTYLE, SEEK MEDICAL ATTENTION, CHANGE MY EATING HABITS, ETC. ALL THINGS I NOW KNOW I NEED TO DO.

HEPATITIS C IS A PROGRESSIVE LIVER DISEASE AND THE PREVAILING OPINION IS THAT EXPLY DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT IS THE MOST BENEFICIAL COURSE OF ACTION.

EVEN AFTER I WAS INFORMED OF MY DIAGNOSIS, IT TOOK THE DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS (D.O.C.) MEDICAL DEPT. ANOTHER ELEVEN MONTHS TO FINALLY BEEN TREATHENTS. EVEN TO THIS

KXH. 3D

LONDON OFFICE

193 OLDFIELD LANE GREENFORD, MIDDLESEX LONDON, BOROUGH OF EALING UB6 8PN UNITED KINGDOM

(081) 578-2878

TIMOTHY M. KOLMAN ESQ. AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICES

225 N. FLOWERS MILL ROAD THE SHOPPES AT FLOWERS MILL LANGHORNE, PA 19047

(215) 750-3134 FACSIMILE (215) 750-3138 PHILADELPHIA OFFICE

1207 CHESTNUT STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107 (215) 985-0088

OF COUNSEL SARA L. GOREN ALSO A MEMBER OF THE N.J.

December 4, 2000

Ian Clarke 82 North Drive Holland, PA 18966

RE: William Clarke

Dear Ian:

Thank you for contacting me regarding William and I regret that I was unable to speak with you this week, however, I have had an opportunity to review Ian's matter and other matters involving the other prisoners at Rock View. This is indeed a very good case and it should be litigated, and quickly. The problem is that on review, I do not believe that my firm has the necessary legal power, at this time, to properly undertake a full class action law suit against the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

It is not that I do not wish to take the case, it is simply that I cannot at the present time owing to my very limited resources.

I do hope that William will understand and I very much regret my inability at this time.

I will, however, discuss this matter with other class action law firms but cannot promise that they will be interested in the case.

Thank you very much for allowing me the privilege of considering this case.

Very truly yours,

TIMOTHY M. KOLMAN & ASSOCIATES

Timothy M. Kolman, Esquire

TMK/lc

EXH.3E

LAW OFFICES

### WILLIG, WILLIAMS & DAVIDSON

TWENTY-FOURTH FLOOR 1845 WALNUT STREET PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103

(215) 656-3600

FAX: (215) 561-8135 DIRECT DIAL NUMBER:

215-656-3672

September 27, 2001

HARRISBURG OFFICE P.O. Box 11997 HARRISBURG, PA 17108-1997 (717) 221-1000

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 38 HADDON AVENUE HADDONFIELD, NJ 08033 Tel: (856) 616-0606 FAX: (856) 616-0064

OF COUNSEL
WILLIAM L. BOWET
NEW JERSEY MANAGING ATTORNEY

JOHN C. THOMAS SIDNEY H. LEHMANN

200800-001

ALSO ADMITTED IN:

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
NEW JERSEY
NEW YORK

DEBORAH R. WILLIGE

ALAINE S. WILLIAMS†

NANCY B. G. LASSEN RALPH J. TET! NANCY J. MCCAULEY† WAYNE WYNN

WARREN D. LEVY†

IRWIN W. ARONSON

LOUISE F. PONGRACZ

JAMES S. BEALL THEODORE P. DIMUZIO

MICHAEL G. DRYDEN NATALIE KLYASHTORNY† KIMBERLY NEEB<sup>®</sup> RICHARD G. POULSON DONNA M. CANTOR† SCOTT B. GOLDBERGA†

ERIC M. FINK† JAMES A. FUNT†

DAVID H. LIPOW† JENNIFER P. BARZESKI† JOHN T. KUPCHINSKY

DEBORAH M. LERNER
PATRICIA V. PIERCET
LAURENCE M. GOODMANTA

MATTHEW I. WHITEHORNET HANNAH SCHWARZSCHILDT

AMY L. ROSENBERGER† SUSAN BAHME BLUMENFELD

> William Clark c/o lan Clark 82 North Drive Holland, PA 18966

> > Re: Clark, William C. v. Wexford Health Sources, Inc., et al.

Dear Mr. Clark:

1. (3)

It was good to talk with you this week regarding your son William's lawsuit against the Department of Corrections and Wexford Health Systems. Unfortunately, and as I mentioned during our conversation, this firm will not be able to represent your son in that action.

I should also point out that any opinions that I may have expressed to you during the course of our telephone conversations were made on the basis of the factual circumstances as I understood them and my recollection of the relevant legal doctrines. No reliance should be placed on any of the opinions or views expressed by me. Moreover, you should not assume that our decision to decline your case represents any expression whatsoever as to its merits.

I suggest that you consult with other counsel regarding your son's suit as soon as possible, as this firm will accept no responsibility to advise or represent you in this matter.

€ GCU € 825-14

Marchable water en the tree in part at an income

-<del>Case 1:01-cv-00764-WWC-PT - Document 74 - Filed 08/22/2002 - Page 7-of-17</del>

WILLIG, WILLIAMS & DAVIDSON

lan Clark September 27, 2001 Page 2

Good luck to you and to your son. And thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

**RICHARD G. POULSON** 

/rgp

DC-804 PART 1

EXH.B

## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA **DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS** P.O. BOX 598 CAMP HILL, PA. 17001-0598

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE		GRIEVANCE NO.	ROC-0641-
TO: GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR  JEFFREY RACKOVAN  FROM: (Commitment Name & Number)  WILLIAM M. CLARK AY-5585  WORK ASSIGNMENT	INSTITUTION  ROCKVIEW INMATE'S SIGNATUR  AUARTERS ASSIGNMENT	Chlent	DATE 8/10/01
INSTRUCTIONS:  1. Refer to the inmate handbook Page 12 and DC-ADM 8 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understa 3. Next, you are required to list in Block B the specific accinclede the identity of staff members you have contact	andable manner. tions you have takei		
A. Brief, clear statement of grievance:  DURING A CONSULTATION ON AUGUST 7, 20		1	
THE LIVER BIOPSY HE FELT I NEEDED + NO REASON OR ALTERNATIVE WAS GIVEN. AS APPEALED THE DECISION AND IT ALSO WAS THE ALTERNATE PLAN WAS TO HAVE HE TELL US THE EXTENT OF DAMAGE TO	DENIED DR. : GENOTYPED.	3 DAYS LATE SYMONS ADVI I ASKED I	R. DR. SYMONS SED ME THAT F THIS WOUND
THAT IT MAY HELP AS FAR AS HOW TO DONE BEFORE ANY TREATHENT STARTE TO THE RIBAVARIN/INTERFERON TREATIONS END	D. TREAT. (GEN D.) THE FACT THENT AWO T	OTYPING SHE THAT I ] THE CONSTANT	ULD HAVE BEEN DID NOT RESPOND UNBEARABLE
HERE AT ROCKVIEW REQUESTED THE REQUESTING FOR ALMOST 2 YEARS A  B. Actions taken and staff you have contacted before submitting this grievan  MY CONTACT WAS WITH DR. SYMONS AX	BIOPSY, SOH JOW. MY SECO	ething I ha ond concern	VE BEEN  SEE PT. 2  STATEHENT
GRIEVANCE WERE DENIED (SEE ABOVE). I I WAS INFORMED WEXFORD MADE THE	ABOVE DECISI	NTACT LARRY OWS.	LIDGETT AS
Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with  Affect Rackbown  Signature of Grievance Coordinator	PART	1 - (11v.	214/01 Date

DC-804 PART 1

### **DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS** P.O. BOX 598 CAMP HILL, PA. 17001-0598

**OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE** 

GRIEVANCE NO. ROC 06 4/-01

	- No.	
INSTITUTION	DATE	
KOCKVIEW	8/10/01	
INMATE'S SIGNATURE		
Calle Clark		
QUARTERS ASSIGNMENT		
EB		
-ADM 804 for information on the inmate g	rievance system.	
	ROCKVIEW INMATE'S SIGNATURE // LILIAGO L	

- 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.

  3. Next, you are required to list in Block B the specific actions you have taken to res

include the identity of staff members you have contacted.
A. Brief, clear statement of grievance CONT
THAT I SHOULD BE RECEIVED THE NEW TREATHENT (PEGOLATED INTERFERON)
BECAUSE OF MY NOW- RESPONSIVENESS TO THE ORIGINAL TREATMENT. AT MY 8/7/01
CONSULTATION WITH DR. SYMONS HE STATED THAT BECAUSE MY 180N COURTS WERE SO
HIGH DURING THE ORIGINAL TREATHENT WHICH CAN RESULT IN NEGATIVE
EFFECT OF TREATHENT), THAT I SHOULD HAVE BEEN DISCONTINUED ON THE
INTERFERON/RIBAVARIN AND BEEN PHLEBOTOMIZED (BLED) TO GET THE IRON
COUNTS DOWN TO NORHAL RANGES (WAS DONE ONLY AFTER THE SIX (6) MONTH
TREATHENT PERIOD). DR SYMONS ALSO STATED THAT A LINITED NUMBER OF WHATES, WHOSE
VIRAL LOAD WAS NOT EXCESSIVE + WHO FAILED TO RESPOND TO THE FIRST TREATHENT, IS
BEING APPROVED. FOR THESE REASONS AND BECAUSE I FEEL THE MEDICAL DEPT. WAS
NEGLECTFUL DURING MY FIRST PERIOD OF TREATHENT, I AM REQUESTING TO START
THE NEW TREATHENT WITHOUT DELAY (RIBAYARIN) GOULD BE ADDED WHEN APPROVED BIODSY
B. Actions taken and staff you have contacted before submitting this grievance:
SEE PART 1 KIND
CENTRAL COOKDANICE
WHILL COUR
Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-AbM 804."
Deffrey Rachowal ELLERART 540 KONH 78/14/01
Signature of Grieyance Coordinator

DC-804 Part 2

### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS P.O. BOX 598 CAMP HILL, PA 17001

Revised December 2000

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE INITIAL REVIEW RESPONSE

GRIEVANCE NO.

#ROC-0641-01

TO: (Inmate Name & DC No.)	FACILITY	HOUSING LOCATION	GRIEVANCE DATE 08/10/01
William Clark, AY-5585	SCIR	Bldg E/B	Received 08/14/01

The following is a summary of my findings regarding your grievance:

A review of this inmate's medical record was done.

This grievance was filed August 10, 2001. Blood work for genotyping was done August 9, 2001.

Pegolated interferon is not yet on the market for administration but will be in the near future.

The details given by this inmate describes all the care, concern and treatment given to this inmate by Dr. Symons. Ongoing treatment is occurring.

JAR:tlk

c: Deputy Tennis

**Deputy Whitman** 

Mr. Lidgett

Ms. Foose

Mr. Price

Case Record

Mr. Rackovan

Print Name & Title of Grievance Officer

SIGNATURE OF GRIEVANCE OFFICER

DATE

8-22-0,

CHCA

TO: FACILITY
MANAGER

FROM! WILLIAM CLARK #AY-5585

# APPEAL OF GRIEVANCE DEBATERS RE: # ROC-0641-01 PG. 1 AUG 27 2001

State Correctional Inatitution DEDATED STACIOI

THIS APPEAL IS IN RESPONSE TO THE INITIAL REVIEW BY LARRY LIDGETT I RECEIVED ON 8/23/01. MY FIRST CONCERN IN MY GRIEVANCE 15 THAT SWEET WAS INFORMED OF MY HEPATITIS C IN OCTOBER OF 1999 I HAVE CONTINUALLY ASKED FOR AND BEEN REFUSED A LIVER BIOPSY. NOW, AFTER 22 MONTHS, DR. SYMON'S REQUESTS THE BIOPSY BECAUSE OF MY BLOOD TESTS CLAB RESULTS, INCLUDING ANOTHER RISE IN MY ALPHAFETA PROTEIN LEVEL) AND THE INCESSANT ITCHNG I SUFFER. THIS PRIMARY CONCERN WAS NEVER ADDRESSED IN THE INITIAL REVIEW RESPONSE. THE ALTERNATIVE TO A BIOPSY WAS ADDRESSED, STATING THAT GENOTYPING WAS DONE. WHAT WAS ABT ADDRESSED IS THE FACT THAT THIS TEST DOES NOTHING TO DIAGNOSE THE LEVEL OF DAMAGE TO MY LIVER. IT ALSO DOES NOT RESPOND TO MY STATEMENT IN THE ORIGINAL GRIEVANCE THAT GENOTYPING SHOULD BE DON'T BEFORE ANY TREATHENT BEGINS AND WAS NOT, MY GRIEVANCE ALSO ASKS FOR PEGOLATED WYERFERON FOR THE REASONS STATED WITHW(PG. 20F DC-804), MR. LIDGETT'S REPLY IS THAT THIS TREATMENT IS NOT YET ON THE MARKET FOR ADMINISTRATION. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THIS STATEHENT, BUT I DO KNOW THIS TREATHENT WAS APPROVED BY THE FDA IN JANUARY, 2001, BECAUSE OF THE REPLY GIVEN THERE 15 NO RESPONSE TO MY CONCERNS ABOUT MY IRON COUNTS AND NOTHING ABOUT THE INITIAL TREATHENT FAILURE, THE LAST STATEMENT IN THE RESPONSE SAYS "DETAILS GIVEN BY ME DESCRIBE CHEE, CONCERN AND TREATHENT BY DR. SYMONS" AND THAT ONGOING TREATHENT IS

# APPEAL OF GRIEVANCE RE:# ROC-0641-01 Pg. 2

DR. SYMONS ENOUGH THAT AT LEAST A PHYSICIAN HERE AT ROCKVIEW

REQUESTED THE BIOPSY, SOMETHING I HAVE BEEN REQUESTING FOR

ALMOST 2 YRS. NOW." (PG. 1 OF DC-804 PARTI) EXCEPT FOR

ATTARAX, WHICH WAS PRESCRIBED FOR MY ITCHING AND DOES NOT

WORK FOR ME NOW OR IN THE PAST, NO ONGOING TREATHENT

IS OCCURING AT THIS TIME. I AGAIN REQUEST A LIVER

BIOPSY AND THE PEGGLATED INTERFERON TREATHENT FOR

THE REASONS STATED ABOVE AND IN MY ORIGINAL GRIEVANCE.

Willia Glab - AY-5585 WILLIAM CLARK

DATED: 8/26/01

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
Department of Corrections
State Correctional Institution at Rockview
(814) 355-4874
September 5, 2001

SUBJECT: Appeal to Grievance #ROC-0641-01

TO:

William Clark, AY-5585

Building E/B

FROM:

R. W. Meyers

Superintendent

Your medical situation is well known by our medical staff, Dr. Symons, and Wexford Health Sources. Everything that can be done is being done.

The liver biopsy was denied at utilization review. Pegolated interferon is not considered a part of your treatment protocol at this time according to Dr. Symons. The plan at present is to continue the genotyping and monitor your situation for any changes.

### RWM:tlk

c: Deputy Tennis

Deputy Whitman

Mr. Lidgett

Ms. Foose

Mr. Price

Case Record

Mr. Rackovan

RECEIVED IN MAIL
INMATE DESK MAIL
11/01 WAY

9/9/01

TO: CHIEF, SECRETARY'S OFFICE OF INNATE GRIEVANCES AND APPEALS
RE: APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE # ROC-0641-01
WILLIAM CLARK
D.O.C. # AY-5585

My Appeal to you is based on the contents of my First (withal) Grievanck and the Appeal and the responses I Got in Both cases. In response to the Appeal to the Facility Manager I Feel nothing that could be being donk is being Donk, including my request for a biopsy and the Pegolated interferon. In that same response it states, "the plan at present is to continue genotypine..." once a genotype has been Donk, what is there to continue?

In without a biopsy, which are symbols at the to me should be donk, no one can make a correct diagnosis to what phase liver danger I am suffering at this time.

How can anyone treat if they Don't know the extent of the Damage?

I DON'T KNOW WHO I AM ADDRESSING HERE EXCEPT FOR A TITLE, BUT PLEASE HEAR THIS. THE ITCHING I ENDURE IS EVERYDAY, MOST OF THE DAY AND WORSE AT NIGHT. IT GET MADDENING. THE MEDICAR STAFF TELLS HE IT'S CAUSED BY LIVER DAMAGE, BUT I CAN GET NO DEFINATIVE ANSWERS

APPEAL TO FWAL REVIEW PG. 2

WHEN I INQUIRE ABOUT TESTS, POSSIBLY ALLERGIC IN NATURE OR IRON COUNT (WHICH IS INCONSISTENT) OR THE POSSIBILITY OF CANCER (BECAUSE OF ALPHA FETA PROTEIN COUNTS), THE RISE ONCE AGAIN IN MY ALT'S + AST'S. THIS IS ALL VERY SCAPY TO ME, THE NOT KNOWING WHATS GOING ON WITH MY BODY. I'VE BEEN GETTING VERY EXHAUSTED AGAIN LATELY, I HAVE ABDOMINAL PAIN ALOT AND I'M NOT GETTING ANSWERS. THIS FEAR TURNS INTO ANGER WHEN I'M TOLD EVERYTHING THAT CAN BE DONK IS BEING DONE WHEN ITS SO OBVIOUS THAT I FEEL NOTHING IS BEING DONE WHEN IN THE LAST LINE TO SAY MY SITUATION IS BEING MONITORAD FOR CHANGES. AT WHAT POINT DOES SOMETHING GAT DONE?

IN THE LAST LINE TO SAY MY SITUATION IS BEING MONITORAD.

TOR CHANGES. AT WHAT POINT DOES SOMETHING GAT DONE?

I BEG YOU TO RECONSIDER THE PREVIOUS REPLIES I'VE GOTTEN AND ORDER A BIOPSY, PEGOLATED INTERFERON AND MORE TESTING TO GET TO THE BOTTOM OF THE INCESSANT ITCHING I ENDURE EVERY DAY, THANK YOU.

WILLIAM M. CLARK
AY-5585

### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 2520 LISBURN ROAD, P.O. BOX 598 CAMP HILL, PA 17001-0598

THE SECRETARY'S OFFICE OF INMATE GRIEVANCES AND APPEALS

October 10, 2001

William Clark, AY-5585 SCI-Rockview

Re:

DC-ADM 804 – Final Review Grievance No. ROC-0641-01

Dear Mr. Clark:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review of the above numbered grievance.

In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, effective January 1, 2001, I have reviewed the entire record of this grievance; including your initial grievance, the grievance officer's response, your appeal from initial review and the superintendent's response. I have also carefully reviewed the issues you raise to final review.

Upon completion of this review, it is the decision of this office to uphold the responses provided by staff at the institutional level. It appears that the medical personnel, including Dr. Symons, are acutely aware of your medical condition and despite your request from specific treatment protocols, monitoring of your conditions is ongoing. I see no legitimacy in your grievance based on the care being administered.

The responses provided at the institutional level are appropriate and in accordance with Department of Corrections policies and procedures. Accordingly, your appeal to final review must be denied.

Sincerely,

Thomas L. James

Chief Grievance Coordinator

TLJ/rh

CC:

Superintendent Meyers Grievance Office

Central File

Case 1:01-cv-00764-WWC-PT - Document 74 Filed 08/22/2002 Page 17 of 17

### Certificate Of Service

I, William Clark, certify I have this day served upon the indicated persons "Plaintiff's Motion and Brief In Support Of Motion For The Appointment Of Counsel", by placing same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

James D. Young, Esq. 301 Market St., Suite 800 P.O. Box 1245 Harrisburg, Pa. 17108-1245

John J. Talaber, Esq. Office Of Chief Counsel 55 Utley Drive Camp Hill, Pa. 17011

Date Mailed: 8/20/02

Respectfully Submitted,

William Clark, pro se

Box A AY-5585 Bellefonte, Pa. 16823